



# 2019-20 Governance Survey Results

In order to support a culture of continuous quality improvement, Coastline conducts annual surveys across all shared governance committees as means to provide information to facilitate the development of strategies to strengthen committee effectiveness in the following year. The subsequent information provides a summary of the 2019-20 survey results.

Table 1. 2019-20 Governance Survey Results

Committee	Collaborative	Transparent	Evidence-Based	Effective	Efficient	Overall
Academic Senate	88.2%	82.4%	82.4%	88.2%	81.3%	84.5%
Budget	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Coastline Management Team	92.9%	71.4%	92.9%	85.7%	71.4%	82.9%
Facilities, Safety, and Sustainability	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
PIEAC	100.0%	92.9%	92.9%	100.0%	85.7%	94.3%
Professional Development and Leadership	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Program and Department Review	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Technology	88.9%	88.9%	88.9%	88.9%	88.9%	88.9%
<b>Overall</b>	<b>95.6%</b>	<b>90.1%</b>	<b>93.4%</b>	<b>94.5%</b>	<b>88.9%</b>	<b>92.5%</b>

Source: 2019-20 Governance Survey. Participation Rate was 63.5% of the 143 members.

Table 1 indicates that the majority of committees were highly effective in the areas of collaboration (95.6%), transparency (90.1%), evidence-based (93.4%), effective (94.5%), and efficiency (88.9%). The highest performing committees include Budget; Facilities, Safety, and Sustainability; Professional Development and Leadership; and Program and Department Review.

90% of the committee members that participated indicated:

- They clearly understand the mandate of the committee (agreed/highly agreed)
- The committee was on-time with the appropriate materials available (agreed/highly agreed)
- The co-chairs operated the committee effectively (agreed/highly agreed)
- The length of the meeting was appropriate (good/very good)
- The quality of access to information, meeting space location and resources to conduct the committee were rated highly favorable (good/very good)
- The quality of communication within the committee was rated highly favorable (good/very good)
- Access to information and other resources was rated highly favorable (good/very good)
- There are sufficient opportunities to provide input (agreed/highly agreed)
- They felt comfortable sharing ideas, felt that they were treated with respect and have sufficient opportunity to provide input (agreed/highly agreed)

**Suggestions for improvement:** It is recommended that the committees meet less frequently and continue to use Zoom for meetings.