



2019-2020 Budget Committee Governance Survey Results

In order to support a culture of continuous quality improvement, Coastline conducts annual surveys across all shared governance committees as means to provide information to facilitate the development of strategies to strengthen committee effectiveness in the following year. The subsequent information provides a summary of the 2019-2020 survey results.

Table 1: Committee Effectiveness Behaviors

	Always	Often	Sometimes	Seldom
COLLABORATIVE: Sharing, inclusive, open to input, respectful of diverse opinions, characterized by meaningful dialogue	60.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%
TRANSPARENT: Open, easy to understand, clearly defined, characterized by effective and meaningful communication with the College community	60.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%
EVIDENCE-BASED: Reliant upon relevant, accurate, complete, timely qualitative and/or quantitative information, not based solely on assertions, speculations, or anecdotes	60.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%
EFFECTIVE: Working properly and productively towards the committee's intended results	60.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%
EFFICIENT: Performing well with the least waste of time and effort, characterized by serving the committee's specified purposes in the best possible manner	60.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.0%

Participation Rate was 41.7% of the 12 members

Table 1 indicates that 100.0% of the time the committee always or often exhibits effective committee interactive behaviors.

100% of the committee members that participated indicated:

- They clearly understand the mandate of the committee
- The committees was on-time with the appropriate materials available
- The co-chairs operated the committee effectively
- The length of the meeting was appropriate
- The quality of access to information, meeting space location and resources to conduct the committee were rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- The quality of communication within the committee was rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- Access to information and other resources was rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- There is sufficient opportunities to provide input
- The quality of communication from the committee to constituency groups and the college as a whole was rated highly favorable (good/very good).

Highlighted accomplishments include: No responses were given

Suggestions for improvement: No suggestions were made