



2019-2020 Academic Senate Governance Survey Results

In order to support a culture of continuous quality improvement, Coastline conducts annual surveys across all shared governance committees as means to provide information to facilitate the development of strategies to strengthen committee effectiveness in the following year. The subsequent information provides a summary of the 2019-2020 survey results.

Table 1: <i>Committee Effectiveness Behaviors</i>	Always	Often	Sometimes	Seldom
COLLABORATIVE: Sharing, inclusive, open to input, respectful of diverse opinions, characterized by meaningful dialogue	64.71%	23.53%	5.88%	5.88%
TRANSPARENT: Open, easy to understand, clearly defined, characterized by effective and meaningful communication with the College community	64.71%	17.65%	11.76%	5.88%
EVIDENCE-BASED: Reliant upon relevant, accurate, complete, timely qualitative and/or quantitative information, not based solely on assertions, speculations, or anecdotes	64.71%	17.65%	11.76%	5.88%
EFFECTIVE: Working properly and productively towards the committee's intended results	64.71%	23.53%	0.00%	11.76%
EFFICIENT: Performing well with the least waste of time and effort, characterized by serving the committee's specified purposes in the best possible manner	56.26%	25.00%	6.25%	12.50%

Participation Rate was 56.7% of the 30 members

Table 1 indicates that 84.6% of the time the committee always or often exhibits effective committee interactive behaviors with the highest categories being collaborative and transparent.

Over 90% of the committee members that participated indicated:

- They clearly understand the mandate of the committee
- Felt comfortable contributing ideas, ideas were respected, and there was opportunity to provide feedback
- The committee meeting was on-time with the appropriate materials available
- The quality of communication from the committee to constituency groups and the college as a whole was rated highly favorable (good/very good)
- The quality of access to information, meeting space location and resources to conduct the committee were rated highly favorable (good/very good)
- The quality of communication within the committee was rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- Access to information and other resources was rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- The length of the meeting was appropriate

Highlighted accomplishments include: Establishing faculty Canvas page, going green, faculty prioritization, and developing a process for coordinator positions.

Suggestions for improvement: The committee should continue meeting on Zoom. Consider diversifying representation from more disciplines.