



2016-2017 PIEAC Governance Survey Results

In order to support a culture of continuous quality improvement, Coastline conducts annual surveys across all shared governance committees as means to provide information to facilitate the development of strategies to strengthen committee effectiveness in the following year. The subsequent information provides a summary of the 2016-2017 survey results.

| Table 1: <i>Committee Effectiveness Behaviors</i> | Almost Always | Often | Sometimes | Seldom |
|--|---------------|-------|-----------|--------|
| COLLABORATIVE: Sharing, inclusive, open to input, respectful of diverse opinions, characterized by meaningful dialogue | 55.6% | 33.3% | 11.1% | 0.0% |
| TRANSPARENT: Open, easy to understand, clearly defined, characterized by effective and meaningful communication with the College community | 61.1% | 27.8% | 11.1% | 0.0% |
| EVIDENCE-BASED: Reliant upon relevant, accurate, complete, timely qualitative and/or quantitative information, not based solely on assertions, speculations, or anecdotes | 56.6% | 33.3% | 11.1% | 0.0% |
| EFFECTIVE: Working properly and productively towards the committee's intended results | 50.0% | 38.9% | 5.6% | 5.6% |
| EFFICIENT: Performing well with the least waste of time and effort, characterized by serving the committee's specified purposes in the best possible manner | 55.6% | 37.5% | 22.2% | 0.0% |

Participation Rate was 96% of the 23 members

Table 1 indicates that 55.6% of the time the committee almost always exhibits effective committee interactive behaviors with the highest category being transparent (61.1%).

Over 80% of the committee members that participated indicated:

- They felt comfortable sharing ideas, felt that they were treated with respect and have sufficient opportunity to provide input.
- The quality of access to information, meeting space location and resources to conduct the committee were rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- The quality of communication within the committee was rated highly favorable (good/very good).

Between 70% and 80% of the committee members that participated indicated:

- The quality of communication from the committee to constituency groups and the college as a whole was rated highly favorable (good/very good).

The highlighted **accomplishments** included improvement of the approval of the College mission statement, and the new resource allocation/ planning process. The **suggestions for improvement** included to have minutes and agendas early and to provide a better orientation to the committee members.