# SURVEY RESULTS OF DISTRICT EMPLOYEES REGARDING THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES











## Survey of District Employees Regarding the Board of Trustees - 2013 Summary from 357 responses

## 1. Which employee class most closely matches your position?



Regular Classified Staff	130	37%
Short-term Staff	11	3%
Full-time Faculty	110	32%
Part-time Faculty	60	17%
Manager/Administrator	37	11%


#### 2. Which is your primary work location?



District Office	21	0%
Coastline Community College	68	19%
Golden West College	92	26%
Orange Coast College	176	49%


District Office

## 3. How long have you been employed with the district?



Less than a year	12	3%
A year or more but less than five years	25	7%
Five years or more but less than ten yrs	92	26%
Ten years + but less than fifteen yrs	76	21%
Fifteen years + but less than twenty yrs	33	9%
Twenty years or longer	119	33%

### 4. How many Board of Trustees meetings of the full Board have you attended in the last 12 months?



None	215	60%
3-Jan	109	31%
7-Apr	20	6%
8 or more	13	4%











## 5. How many Board of Trustees Committee meetings have you attended in the last 12 months?




None	287	80%
3-Jan	53	15%
7-Apr	10	3%
8 or	7	2%
more	,	2 70

## 6. How frequently do you read the agendas for Board of Trustees meetings?



20%	70	Never
32%	115	Every month
21%	76	Once or twice within three months
5%	19	Once or twice within six months
6%	21	Once or twice within twelve months
16%	56	Infrequently

7. The Board of Trustees, administrators, faculty, staff, and students work together for the good of the institution through established governance structures, processes, and practices.



Outstanding	16	5%
Good	75	21%
Needs Improvement	154	44%
Unacceptable	59	17%
Unable to Evaluate	47	13%






3%





8. The Board of Trustees is an independent policy-making body that reflects the public interest in board activities and decisions.




Outstanding
Good
Needs
Improvement
Unable to
Evaluate

85 24%179 51%75 21%

11

9. Once the Board of Trustees reaches a decision, it acts as a whole.



 Outstanding
 12
 3%


 Good
 72
 21%

 Needs Improvement
 96
 28%

 Unacceptable
 35
 10%

 Unable to Evaluate
 134
 38%

10. The Board of Trustees advocates for and defends the district as a whole and protects it from undue influence or pressure.



 Outstanding
 23
 7%


 Good
 76
 22%

 Needs Improvement
 104
 30%

 Unacceptable
 46
 13%

 Unable to Evaluate
 95
 28%

11. The Board of Trustees establishes policies consistent with the mission statement to ensure the quality, integrity, and improvement of student learning programs and services and the resources necessary to support them.



Outstanding	24	7%
Good	85	24%
Needs Improvement	117	34%
Unacceptable	51	15%
Unable to Evaluate	70	20%





COAST COTTESCS Inspiration, miles	acion. Graduation.	CERTIFICATION OF THE PARTY OF T	SASSMETTON SERVING	COLLIGI
	Outstanding	22	6%	
12. The Board of Trustees has ultimate responsibility	Good	96	28%	
for educational quality, legal matters, and financial	Needs Improvement	110	32%	
integrity.	•			
Unacceptable [35]	Unacceptable	35	10%	
Needs Impro [110]	Unable to Evaluate	79	23%	
Good [96]				
G000 [30]	Outstanding	20	6%	
13. The Board of Trustees acts in a manner	Good	79	23%	
consistent with its policies and bylaws.	Needs Improvement		23% 29%	
Unable to E [106]	Unacceptable	40	29% 12%	
Unacceptable [40] Unable to E [106]	•			
Needs Impro [101]  Good [79]	Unable to Evaluate	106	31%	
14. The Board of Trustees regularly evaluates its	Outstanding	17	5%	
policies and practices and revises them as necessary.	Good	44	13%	
Unable to E [142]	Needs Improvement	90	26%	
Offable to E [142]	Unacceptable	52	15%	
Unacceptable [52] —Outstanding [17] —Good [44] Needs Improv [90]	Unable to Evaluate	142	41%	
110000 Hillian [an]	Outstanding	11	3%	19
15. The Board of Trustees has a program for board	Good	52	15%	
development and new member orientation. It has a	Needs Improvement	52 52	15%	
mechanism for providing for continuity of board	•			
membership and staggered terms of office.	Unacceptable	16	5%	
Unable to E [210]	Unable to Evaluate	210	62%	
Unacceptable [16]  Good [52]  Needs Improv [52]				





Coast Coneges	inspiration, innov	actorii Ozadancioii.	ESHILL HESIG	SPRINGERS MADE IN	COLLEGI
		Outstanding	8	2%	
16. The Board of Trustees' self-evalu		Good	41	12%	
for assessing board performance are		Needs Improvemen		20%	
implemented, and published in its pol	licies or bylaws.	Unacceptable	41	12%	
Onable to E [165]		Unable to Evaluate			
		Unable to Evaluate	183	53%	
ATT I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I					
	-Outstanding [8]				
Unacceptable [41]	— Good [41]				
	New York and a 1990				
	Needs Improv [70]	Outstanding	17	5%	
17. The Board of Trustees has a code		Good	85	25%	
includes a clearly defined policy for de	ealing with				
behavior that violates its code.		Needs Improvemen		24%	
	Unable to E [128]	Unacceptable	30	9%	
Unacceptable [30]		Unable to Evaluate	128	38%	
Oligicabignia (20)					
<b>发作品的高速型金0</b> 基	90 69				
	Outstanding [17]				
Needs Improv [80]					
	Good [85]				
		Outstanding	28	8%	
18. The Board of Trustees is informed	d about and	Good	96	28%	
involved in the accreditation process.					
Unacceptable [55]		Needs Improvemen		26%	
	Unable to Ev [79]	Unacceptable	55	16%	
		Unable to Evaluate	79	23%	
Needs Improv [89]					
rescas improviços	Outstanding [28]				
	- Good [96]				
		Outstanding	15	4%	
19. The Board of Trustees adheres to	- 1	Good	71	21%	
defined policy for selecting and evalua	ating the	Needs Improvement		18%	
Chancellor.	Unable to E [164]	·			
	o.taulo 10 E [107]	Unacceptable	33	10%	
		Unable to Evaluate	164	47%	
Unacceptable [33]	Outstanding [15]				
	-Cood EN				
Needs Improv [63]	-Good [71]				





Outstanding	9	3%	
Good	53	15%	
Needs Improvement	88	26%	
Unacceptable	66	19%	
Unable to Evaluate	129	37%	
Outstanding	12	3%	
Good	76	22%	
Needs Improvement	64	19%	
Unacceptable	32	9%	
Unable to Evaluate	161	47%	
	Good Needs Improvement Unacceptable Unable to Evaluate  Outstanding Good Needs Improvement Unacceptable	Good 53 Needs Improvement 88 Unacceptable 66 Unable to Evaluate 129  Outstanding 12 Good 76 Needs Improvement 64 Unacceptable 32	Good       53       15%         Needs Improvement       88       26%         Unacceptable       66       19%         Unable to Evaluate       129       37%         Outstanding       12       3%         Good       76       22%         Needs Improvement       64       19%         Unacceptable       32       9%

